

Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools & Libraries Division

Box 125 - Correspondence Unit 80 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981

#### COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT LETTER

January 31, 2003

Danielle Morales Inter-Tel Netsolutions, Inc. 1667 North Batavia Street Orange, CA 92867

#### Re COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT

Funding Year 2000-2001

Contact Person FRAN OLDER

Contact Phone: 714-543-5437

Dear Service Provider Contact

Our routine reviews of Schools and Libraries Program funding commitments revealed certain applications where funds were committed in violation of program rules

In order to be sure that no funds are used in violation of program rules, SLD must now adjust these funding commitments The purpose of this letter is to inform you of the adjustments to these funding commitments required by program rules.

#### FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT

On the pages following this letter, we have provided a Funding Commitment Report for the Form 471 application cited above The enclosed report includes a list of the FRNs from the application for which adjustments are necessary. The SLD is also sending this information to applicant, so that you may work with them to implement this decision Immediately preceding the Funding Commitment Report, you will find a guide that defines each line of the Report.

Please note that if the Funds Disbursed to Date amount exceeds your Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will have to recover some or all of the funds disbursed. The amount is shown as Funds to be Recovered We expect to send you a letter describing the process for recovering these funds in the near future, and we will send a copy of the letter to the applicant If the Funds Disbursed to Date amount is less than the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will continue to process properly filed invoices up to the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount

#### TO APPEAL THESE FUNDING COMMITMENT DECISIONS

If you wish to appeal the Funding Commitment Decision(s) indicated in this letter, your appeal must be made in writing and RECEIVED BY THE SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES DIVISION (SLD) WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE DATE AT THE TOP OF THIS LETTER Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. In your letter of appeal

- 1 Include the name, address, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address (if available) for the person who can most readily discuss this appeal with us.
- 2 State outright that your letter is an appeal Identify which Commitment Adjustment Letter you are appealing. Your letter of appeal must include the applicant name and the Form 471 Application Number from the top of this Commitment Adjustment Letter.
- 3 Identify the particular Funding Request Number(s) (FRN) that is the subject of your appeal When explaining your appeal, include the precise language or text from the Commitment Adjustment Letter that is at the heart of your appeal By pointing us to the exact words that give rise to your appeal, you will enable us to more readily understand and respond appropriately to your appeal Please keep your letter to the point, and provide documentation to support your appeal Be sure to keep copies of your correspondence and documentation
- 4 Provide an authorized signature on your letter of appeal

If you are submitting your appeal on paper, please send your appeal to Letter of Appeal, Schools and Libraries Division, Box 125- Correspondence Unit, 80 South Jefferson Road, Whippany, NJ 07981 Additional options for filing an appeal can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site www.sl universalservice.org or by calling the Client Service Bureau at 1-(888)-203-8100 We encourage the use of either the e-mail or fax filing options to expedite filing your appeal

While we encourage you to resolve your appeal with the SLD first, you have the option of filing an appeal directly with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). You should refer to CC Docket Nos 96-45 and 97-21 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC Your appeal must be RECEIVED BY THE FCC WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE ABOVE DATE ON THIS LETTER Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site, www sl universalservice org or by calling the Chent Service Bureau at 1-(888)-203-8100 We strongly recommend that you use either the e-mail or fax filing options because of continued substantial delays in mail delivery to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554

2

#### A GUIDE TO THE FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT

Attached to this letter will be a report for each funding request from your application for which a commitment adjustment is required We are providing the following definitions

- FUNDING REQUEST NUMBER (FRN) A Funding Request Number is assigned by the SLD to each request in Block 5 of your Form 471 once an application has been processed. This number is used to report to applicants and service providers the status of individual discount funding requests submitted on a Form 471.
- SPIN (Service Provider Identification Number) A unique number assigned by the Universal Service Administrative Company to service providers seeking payment from the Universal Service Fund for participating in the universal service support programs
- SERVICE PROVIDER. The legal name of the service provider
- CONTRACT NUMBER The number of the contract between the eligible party and the service provider This will be present only if a contract number was provided on Form 471
- SERVICES ORDERED The type of service ordered from the service provider, as shown on Form 471
- SITE IDENTIFIER The Entity Number listed in Form 471 for "site specific" FRNs
- BILLING ACCOUNT NUMBER The account number that your service provider has established with you for billing purposes This will be present only if a Billing Account Number was provided on your Form 471
- ADJUSTED FUNDING COMMITMENT This represents the adjusted total amount of funding that SLD has committed to this FRN. If this amount exceeds the Funds Disbursed to Date, the SLD will continue to process properly filed invoices up to the new commitment amount
- FUNDS DISBURSED TO DATE This represents the total funds which have been paid up to now to the identified service provider for this FRN
- FUNDS TO BE RECOVERED This represents the amount of Funds Disbursed to Date that exceed the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount. These funds will have to be recovered. If the Funds Disbursed to Date do not exceed the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, this entry will be \$0.
- FUNDING COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT EXPLANATION This entry provides a description of the reason the adjustment was made

## Funding Commitment Report for Application Number: 201781

Funding Request Number 448700

SPIN 143018559

Service Provider

Inter-Tel Netsolutions, Inc.

Contract Number

LAN2047622

Services Ordered

INTERNET ACCESS

Site Identifier

Billing Account Number. 714-543-5437; 562-866

Adjusted Funding Commitment

\$0.00

Funds Disbursed to Date

\$0.00

Funds to be Recovered

\$0.00

Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation

After thorough investigation, it was determined that this funding request will be rescinded in full. It was determined during our investigation that Fran Older has signed the referenced Form 470 212050000272490 for this funding request Fran Older has been validated by the SLD as being a consultant for LW Associates (5319 University Drive, Irvine CA 92612, SPIN: 143009275) The Form 470 that was referenced for this funding request is not considered to be valid since SLD program procedures do not allow for service providers/consultants to sign and certify the Form 470 application. This is considered to be a conflict of interest and is a violation of the intent of the 28-day competitive bidding process. According to the SLD program procedures a billed entity cannot receive funding unless the entity cites a valid FCC Form 470. This Form 470 violation will result in the rescinding of the full commitment amount.



## Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools & Libraries Division

Contact Phone: 714-543-5437

Box 125 - Correspondence Unit 80 South Jefferson Road Whippany, NJ 07981

#### COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT LETTER

January 31, 2003

Beth Gaucin Inter-Tel NetSolutions, Inc. 1615 S. 52nd Street Tempe, AZ 85281

Re COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT

Funding Year: 2000-2001

Form 471 Application Number: 201781

Applicant Name APPROACH LEARNING & ASSES

Contact Person: FRAN OLDER

Dear Service Provider Contact:

Our routine reviews of Schools and Libraries Program funding commitments revealed certain applications where funds were committed in violation of program rules

In order to be sure that no funds are used in violation of program rules, SLD must now adjust these funding commitments. The purpose of this letter is to inform you of the adjustments to these funding commitments required by program rules.

#### FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT

On the pages following this letter, we have provided a Funding Commitment Report for the Form 471 application cited above The enclosed report includes a list of the FRNs from the application for which adjustments are necessary The SLD is also sending this information to applicant, so that you may work with them to implement this decision Immediately preceding the Funding Commitment Report, you will find a guide that defines each line of the Report

Please note that if the Funds Disbursed to Date amount exceeds your Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will have to recover some or all of the funds disbursed. The amount is shown as Funds to be Recovered. We expect to send you a letter describing the process for recovering these funds in the near future, and we will send a copy of the letter to the applicant. If the Funds Disbursed to Date amount is less than the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, USAC will continue to process properly filed invoices up to the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount.

#### TO APPEAL THESE FUNDING COMMITMENT DECISIONS

If you wish to appeal the Funding Commitment Decision(s) indicated in this letter, your appeal must be made in writing and RECEIVED BY THE SCHOOLS AND LIBRARIES DIVISION (SLD) WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE DATE AT THE TOP OF THIS LETTER Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal In your letter of appeal

- 1 Include the name, address, telephone number, fax number, and e-mail address (if available) for the person who can most readily discuss this appeal with us.
- 2 State outright that your letter is an appeal Identify which Commitment Adjustment Letter you are appealing. Your letter of appeal must include the applicant name and the Form 471 Application Number from the top of this Commitment Adjustment Letter.
- 3 Identify the particular Funding Request Number(s) (FRN) that is the subject of your appeal When explaining your appeal, include the precise language or text from the Commitment Adjustment Letter that is at the heart of your appeal. By pointing us to the exact words that give rise to your appeal, you will enable us to more readily understand and respond appropriately to your appeal. Please keep your letter to the point, and provide documentation to support your appeal Be sure to keep copies of your correspondence and documentation
- 4 Provide an authorized signature on your letter of appeal

If you are submitting your appeal on paper, please send your appeal to. Letter of Appeal, Schools and Libraries Division, Box 125- Correspondence Unit, 80 South Jefferson Road, Whippany, NJ 07981 Additional options for filing an appeal can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site www sl.universalservice.org or by calling the Client Service Bureau at 1-(888)-203-8100 We encourage the use of either the e-mail or fax filing options to expedite filing your appeal

While we encourage you to resolve your appeal with the SLD first, you have the option of filing an appeal directly with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) You should refer to CC Docket Nos 96-45 and 97-21 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be RECEIVED BY THE FCC WITHIN 60 DAYS OF THE ABOVE DATE ON THIS LETTER Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site, www sl universalservice org or by calling the Client Service Bureau at 1-(888)-203-8100. We strongly recommend that you use either the e-mail or fax filing options because of continued substantial delays in mail delivery to the FCC. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554

#### A GUIDE TO THE FUNDING COMMITMENT REPORT

Attached to this letter will be a report for each funding request from your application for which a commitment adjustment is required. We are providing the following definitions

- FUNDING REQUEST NUMBER (FRN): A Funding Request Number is assigned by the SLD to each request in Block 5 of your Form 471 once an application has been processed. This number is used to report to applicants and service providers the status of individual discount funding requests submitted on a Form 471
- SPIN (Service Provider Identification Number) A unique number assigned by the Universal Service Administrative Company to service providers seeking payment from the Universal Service Fund for participating in the universal service support programs
- SERVICE PROVIDER The legal name of the service provider
- CONTRACT NUMBER The number of the contract between the eligible party and the service provider This will be present only if a contract number was provided on Form 471
- SERVICES ORDERED The type of service ordered from the service provider, as shown on Form 471
- SITE IDENTIFIER The Entity Number listed in Form 471 for "site specific" FRNs
- BILLING ACCOUNT NUMBER The account number that your service provider has established with you for billing purposes This will be present only if a Billing Account Number was provided on your Form 471
- ADJUSTED FUNDING COMMITMENT This represents the adjusted total amount of funding that SLD has committed to this FRN If this amount exceeds the Funds Disbursed to Date, the SLD will continue to process properly filed invoices up to the new commitment amount
- FUNDS DISBURSED TO DATE This represents the total funds which have been paid up to now to the identified service provider for this FRN
- FUNDS TO BE RECOVERED This represents the amount of Funds Disbursed to Date that exceed the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount. These funds will have to be recovered. If the Funds Disbursed to Date do not exceed the Adjusted Funding Commitment amount, this entry will be \$0.
- FUNDING COMMITMENT ADJUSTMENT EXPLANATION This entry provides a description of the reason the adjustment was made

3

## Funding Commitment Report for Application Number: 201781

Funding Request Number 448706

SPIN 143008103

Service Provider

Inter-Tel NetSolutions, Inc

Contract Number: LAN26842

Services Ordered

TELCOMM SERVICES

Site Identifier

Billing Account Number 714-543-5437; 562-866

Adjusted Funding Commitment:

\$0.00

Funds Disbursed to Date

\$0.00

Funds to be Recovered

\$0.00

Funding Commitment Adjustment Explanation

After thorough investigation, it was determined that this funding request will be rescinded in full It was determined during our investigation that Fran Older has signed the referenced Form 470 212050000272490 for this funding request Fran Older has been validated by the SLD as being a consultant for LW Associates (5319 University Drive, Irvine CA 92612, SPIN: 143009275). The Form 470 that was referenced for this funding request is not considered to be valid since SLD program procedures do not allow for service providers/consultants to sign and certify the Form 470 application. This is considered to be a conflict of interest and is a violation of the intent of the 28-day competitive bidding process. According to the SLD program procedures a billed entity cannot receive funding unless the entity cites a valid FCC Form 470 This Form 470 violation will result in the rescinding of the full commitment amount.



March 28, 2003

Universal Service Administrative Company
Schools & Libraries Division
Box 125 - Correspondence Unit
80 South Jefferson Road
Whippany, NJ 07981
VIA e-mail (w/o attachment) and facsimile to: 973.599-6542

inter-Tel, Incorporated 1615 S 52nd Street Tempe, Arizona 85281 Telephone (480) 449-8900 Facsimile (480) 449-8919 www.inter-tel.com

Re: APPEAL

By Service Provider Inter-Tel NetSolutions, Inc.

To your Commitment Adjustment Letter dated 1-31-2003 Applicant Name: Approach Learning & Assessment Centers

Form 471 Application Number: 201781

Funding Year: 2000-2001

Funding Request Number: 448706

Dear Sir or Madam:

Inter-Tel NetSolutions, Inc. ("NetSolutions") hereby appeals the funding commitment adjustment required in the above-named Funding Commitment Report, which seeks full rescission of the commitment amount of \$0 on the basis of a competitive bidding violation, or more specifically that the designated contact person created a conflict of interest.

The customer, Approach Learning Assessment Centers, provided NetSolutions with the contact person's name, Fran Older in a written communication, a copy of which is attached hereto. The contact person was not an employee of the Service Provider.

NetSolutions regrets this unfortunate incident Although the alleged violation occurred without NetSolutions' knowledge, the company welcomes your suggestions on how to rectify the situation.

Per your request, please note the following contact information:

- 1. John L. Gardner, General Counsel of Inter-Tel, Incorporated
- 2 1615 South 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, Tempe, Arizona 85281
- 3. Tel. 480 449.8881
- 4. Fax 480. 449.8929
- 5. e-mail: John Gardner@inter-tel.com.

Sincerely,

TEX-TEL\_INCORPORATED

John L. Gardner General Counsel

JLG/ys

Inter-Tel Netsolutions, Inc. 1667 North Batavia Street Orange, CA 92867



## Universal Service Administrative Company

Schools & Libraries Division

#### Administrator's Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2000-2001

October 17, 2003

John L. Gardner Inter-Tel, Incorporated 1615 S. 52<sup>nd</sup> Street Tempe, AZ 85281

Re: Approach Learning & Assessment Centers

Re:

Billed Entity Number:

158862

471 Application Number:

201781

Funding Request Number(s):

448700, 448706

Your Correspondence Dated:

March 28, 2003

After thorough review and investigation of all relevant facts, the Schools and Libraries Division ("SLD") of the Universal Service Administrative Company ("USAC") has made its decision in regard to your appeal of SLD's Year 2000 Funding Commitment Adjustment for the Application Number indicated above. This letter explains the basis of SLD's decision. The date of this letter begins the 60-day time period for appealing this decision to the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC"). If your letter of appeal included more than one Application Number, please note that for each application for which an appeal is submitted, a separate letter is sent.

Funding Request Number:

448700, 448706

Decision on Appeal:

Denied in full

Explanation:

- In your letter of appeal, you state that the customer, Approach Learning
   Assessment Centers, provided you with Fran Older as the contact person's name.
   You also indicate that the contact person was not an employee of Inter-Tel and the
   violation occurred without your knowledge. You would welcome suggestions on
   how to rectify the situation.
- Upon review of the appeal it was determined that the applicant's Form 470 #212050000272490 included service provider contact information in Block 1, Item 6 and Block 5, items 27-29. This information includes the name of Fran Older, located at 5319 University Dr # 416, Irvine, CA, with the phone # 949-786-9674. At the time the selective review was performed, this was the contact

person, address, and phone number for LW Associates as listed in the SLD database for SPIN contacts. Program rules require the applicant to provide a fair and open competitive bidding process. Per the SLD website; "In order to be sure that a fair and open competition is achieved, any marketing discussions you hold with service providers must be neutral, so as not to taint the competitive bidding process. That is, you should not have a relationship with a service provider prior to the competitive bidding that would unfairly influence the outcome of a competition or would furnish the service provider with "inside" information or allow them to unfairly compete in any way. A conflict of interest exists, for example, when an applicant's consultant, who is involved in determining the services sought by the applicant and who is involved in the selection of the applicant's service providers, is associated with a service provider that was selected." Since the applicant's consultant/contact person is also the contact person for a service provider from whom the applicant is requesting services, all FRN's that are associated with this Form 470 must be denied as required by program rules. Consequently, the appeal is denied.

- FCC rules require applicants to seek competitive bids and in selecting a service provider to carefully consider all bids. FCC rules further require applicants to comply with all applicable state and local competitive bidding requirements. In the May 23, 2000 MasterMind Internet Services, Inc. (MasterMind) appeals decision, the FCC upheld SLD's decision to deny funding where a MasterMind employee was listed as the contact person on the FCC Form 470 and MasterMind participated in the competitive bidding process initiated by the FCC Form 470. The FCC reasoned that under those circumstances, the Forms 470 were defective and violated the Commission's competitive bidding requirements, and that in the absence of valid Forms 470, the funding requests were properly denied. Pursuant to FCC guidance, this principle applies to any service provider contact information on an FCC Form 470 including address, telephone and fax numbers, and email address.
- Conflict of interest principles that apply in competitive bidding situations include preventing the existence of conflicting roles that could bias a contractor's judgment, and preventing unfair competitive advantage. A competitive bidding violation and conflict of interest exists when an applicant's consultant, who is involved in determining the services sought by the applicant and who is involved in the selection of the applicant's service providers, is associated with a service provider that was selected.

<sup>1</sup> See 47 C.F.R. §§ 54.504(a), 54.511(a).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Sec 47 C.F.R. § 54.504(a), (b)(2)(v1).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See In re MasterMind Internet Services, Inc., CC Docket 96-45, ¶ 9 (May 23, 2000).

See id.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> See, eg, 48 C.F.R. § 9.505(a), (b).

If you believe there is a basis for further examination of your application, you may file an appeal with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC). You should refer to CC Docket No. 02-6 on the first page of your appeal to the FCC. Your appeal must be POSTMARKED within 60 days of the above date on this letter. Failure to meet this requirement will result in automatic dismissal of your appeal. If you are submitting your appeal via United States Postal Service, send to: FCC, Office of the Secretary, 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street SW, Washington, DC 20554. Further information and options for filing an appeal directly with the FCC can be found in the "Appeals Procedure" posted in the Reference Area of the SLD web site or by contacting the Client Service Bureau. We strongly recommend that you use either the e-mail or fax filing options.

We thank you for your continued support, patience, and cooperation during the appeal process.

Schools and Libraries Division
Universal Service Administrative Company

CC: Fran Older
Approach Learning & Assessment Centers
2130 E. Fourth Street, Suite 200
Santa Ana, CA 92705

#### DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

#### **Bob Morrow**



103 Weatherstone Drive Suite 720 Woodstock, GA 30188 (770) 592-4698 ext. 107 FAX: (770) 592-4693 Toll Free: (888) 249-1661

June 20, 2003

#### **RECEIVED & INSPECTED**

JUN 2 0 2003

FCC - MAILROOM

#### FCC Appeal of SLD Denial of Appeal

CC Docket Nos. 96-45 and 97-21

#### Contact Information

Robert A Morrow Compliance Manager E-rate Consulting 103 Weatherstone Drive Suite 720 Woodstock, GA 30188 888-249-1661 FAX: 770-592-4693

bmorrow@crateconsulting.com

Note: Letter of Agency to act on behalf of Approach Learning and Assessment Centers is

attached

#### Name of Entity:

Approach Learning and Assessment Centers (158862)

#### SLD Action Being Appealed:

Administrator's Decision on Appeal - Funding Year 2002-2003 Dated April 22, 2003 (attached)

471 Application Involved: #297762 (FRNs 764315, 764324, 764333, 764340, 764341, 764346, 764350, 764353, 764355)

#### Case for Appeal

The issue in this case is straightforward:

Does a simple error constitute a violation of the Schools and Libraries Division's (SLD) ban on vendor involvement in the competitive bidding process, even though no bidding violation was found after several exhaustive reviews by Program Integrity Assurance (PIA) agents. Based on the facts of this case, the answer is an unequivocal "no".

As explained to SLD, and in the appeal to the Universal Services Administrative Company (USAC), the facts are straightforward. Approach Learning and Assessment Centers ("Applicant") engaged the services of Fran Older as an independent E-rate consultant to support the Applicant's E-rate application and documentation. She was paid by Applicant on a monthly basis for the services she rendered. She was not at any time an employee, agent, officer, director or owner of a service provider and was not paid by a service provider.

The USAC denied the Applicant's appeal because (1) USAC determined that there was a contradiction between Ms. Older's Statement of Facts and Congresswoman Sanchez's letter, and (2) Ms. Older was listed when the application was reviewed as the service provider's contact person, which would constitute a conflict of interest. Finally in support of their conflict of interest claim, USAC cites the MasterMind Internet Services, Inc. decision wherein the FCC upheld SLD's decision to deny funding where a MasterMind employee was listed as the contact person on the FCC Form 470 and MasterMind participated in the competitive bidding process initiated by the FCC Form 470.

When the contact information was discovered incorrect, the applicant attempted to determine how the incorrect contact information was list on the SLD database and not the USAC database as there was no record of a Form 498 submitted to authorize Ms. Older as the contact person.

The Applicant has assumed that the incorrect information on the databases resulted from the Service Provider misinterpretation of the Form 473 guidelines. In 1998, through a bidding process, LW Associates (Service Provider) was selected as the approved service provider. The Service Provider mistakenly listed Ms. Older, the Applicant's contact, in the space intended for the Service Provider's contact. When the error was discovered, the service provider filed the necessary documents (Form 498) to correct the oversight

On appeal to USAC, the Applicant provided a Statement of Facts and Certification from Ms. Older wherein she certifies that there was an "honest mistake" regarding the misuse of her name on the Form 473 and that it was "immediately corrected." Further, she verifies that she is not and has "never been a consultant to LW Associates" and that she contacts service providers "only when it pertains to e-Rate matters on behalf of applicants." Ms. Older's Statement of Fact is attached as Exhibit "A".

The Applicant also provided a Statement of Facts and Certification from James Carter of LW Associates confirming that listing Fran Older was an "honest mistake" and that she has never been a consultant to LW Associates. Finally, the Applicant submitted a letter from Congresswoman Loretta Sanchez confirming that there was a misunderstanding on completing

the forms. Mr. Carter's Statement of Fact and Representative Sanchez's letter are attached as Exhibits "B" and "C", respectively.

USAC stressed that there was a contradiction between Ms. Older's Statement of Facts and the letter from Congresswoman Sanchez. The likely reason that such emphasis was placed on this alleged contradiction is to try and show that Ms. Older lacked credibility.

According to USAC, the alleged inconsistent statements come from Ms. Older's Statement of Fact wherein she supposed alleges that an internal SLD error was responsible for her name, address and phone number appearing as contact for the service provider. Ms. Older clearly states in her Statement of Fact that "identifying me as the Contact Person was an honest mistake in the interpretation of instructions..." She never attributes the error to SLD. Similarly, Congresswoman Sanchez, in her October 30, 2002 letter to George McDonald of USAC, attributes the error to a misunderstanding of program rules. Based on the actual language, it is incomprehensible as to how USAC concluded that Ms. Older was attributing the error to SLD, as alleged by USAC. What is evident is that there is no contradiction between Ms. Older's Statement of Fact and Congresswoman Sanchez's statement that "LW Associates misunderstood the instructions..." [and named] "Ms. Older [as] the contact person..."

In the appeal denial, the USAC stated, "...at this time this [Form 471] application was reviewed, the SLD's records indicated that Fran Older was the contact person for LW Associates. Therefore, the SLD could only conclude that the contact person for the applicant was connected to the service provider. LW Associates. Program rules require applications to provide a fair and open competitive bidding process." This justification for denial simply repeats the assertion made in the original funding denial, apparently without considering the Statements of Fact from Ms. Older and James Carter of LW Associates submitted in the appeal. As noted above, in these Statements of Fact, Ms. Older and Mr. Carter certified that Ms. Older has no business association with LW Associates and that her listing as a contact for LW Associates was an error made by the vendor when filing for a SPIN number.

Perhaps the most crucial issue is whether or not a conflict of interest existed. In support of their conclusion that a conflict of interest existed, and as noted above, USAC relied upon MasterMind. However, there is a clear and obvious factual distinction between MasterMind and the instant matter. In MasterMind, MasterMind not only participated in the competitive bidding process, but it was also one of the service providers. Therefore, it listed one of its own employees as the contact person. MasterMind argued that there was no rule specifically prohibiting a service provider from being involved in the competitive bidding process. The FCC held that "an applicant violates the Commission's competitive bidding requirements when it surrenders control of the bidding process to a service provider that participates in that bidding process." In re MasterMind Internet Services, Inc., CC Docket 96-45 ¶12 (May 23, 2000).

In this instance, the Applicant never surrendered control of the bidding process to the service provider. Rather, the only issue was that the Applicant's consultant was extoneously listed as the service provider's contact person. Therefore, USAC's reliance on MasterMind is misguided.

Furthermore, in 2002, SLD, guided by the MasterMind decision, posted warnings and clarifications for denials that prohibited service provider contacts from being the same as the contact person shown in Form 470. As noted above, the Applicant's forms were filed in 1998,

four years before the MasterMind decision and long before SLD posted its warnings. Despite the foregoing fact, and despite the fact that the error, once discovered, was corrected by filing Form 498 with USAC, and despite the fact that USAC had the correct contacts listed on its computers and despite the fact that the Applicant received funding for funding years 1-4, the SLD, and USAC in its denial of the Applicant's appeal, still found that the honest mistake constituted a "conflict of interest". Yet, by its own definition, and the definition in MasterMind, no conflict existed because Ms. Older was not an employee or agent of the service provider.

In conclusion, both the Applicant and the service provider have provided adequate evidence to show that (1) no conflict of interest existed between Ms. Older and the service provider, (2) the MasterMend decision is not applicable in this instance to support a claim of a conflict of interest, and (3) the bidding process was approved by SLD during its own Item 25 Selective Review. Therefore, the Applicant asks that the FCC rescind the funding denial.

In the alternative, if the FCC determines that year 5 funding denial is warranted, the Applicant requests that the denial be applied only to the alleged offending service provider's funding requests and not to all funding requests associated with that Form 470. This would be consistent with the recent recommendations of the Task Force on the Prevention of Waste, Fraud and Abuse which states in pertinent part:

Do not automatically deny all of an applicant's funding requests on a Form 471 that cited a particular Form 470 if procurement or contract problems related to the Form 470 posting are identified with a specific funding request or a specific vendor. The Task Force believes that the FCC's current policy has led to the denial of some applicant's funding requests that were not subject to vendor manipulation, simply because the applicant filed a single Form 470 application

Respectfully submitted,

Robert Morrow
Compliance Manager

Factosures

cc:

Ms. Fran Older
Mr. James Carter
Rep. Loretta Sanchez
Daniel Barbra, Senior Legislative Assistant
to Congresswoman Loretta Sanchez
Ruben Smith, Esq.
Thomas Zeigler, Esq.

EXHIBIT 6

STATEMENT OF FACTS And CERTIFICATION To USAC/SLD

Pertaining to e-Rate Program Funding Years 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002

I certify that the information provided on FCC Forms 473 to USAC and/or SLD on any and all s-Rate program funding years identifying me as the Contact Person was an honset mistake in the interpretation of instructions as to whom correspondence and questions should be directed. The Service Provider, LW Associates, misinterpreted the instructions to mean that it should be the Applicant's contact person most familiar with questions pertaining to e-Rate forms.

Be advised that as soon as this mistake was brought to my attention in connection with Funding Year 2003 (FYS), it was reported to the Service Provider who then immediately corrected the error in both databases at USAC and SLD by filling a Form 498 with USAC. On July 23, 2002, USAC verified the change was completed and my name was removed from both databases.

Be further advised that the Private Mail Box set up at 6319 University Drive, PMB #416. Irvine, CA, 92612 was opened only for the purpose of expeditious handling of e-Rate timesensitive correspondence and a safe harbor for e-rate checks from the US Treasury. It was not until the later years in the a-rate program that SLD started pre-notification to Applicants and Service Providers that checks were in the mail. Be assured that all mail directed to me at the address was immediately re-directed to James Carter, the CTO of LW Associates. James Carter is the person authorized on Form 498 as the official contact person for LW Associates. It was simply an honest mistake that is now corrected in your records by filing the Form 498. The address is no longer used by LW Associates and at no time was it the physical address for LW Associates. I will continue to use the address on behalf of the Applicant, Approach Learning and Assessment Centers for e-Rate related correspondence. Be assured that it was never the physical address of my office, and further, at no time has my office been associated with LW Associates.

Be further advised that I am not, and have never been, a consultant to LW Associates. I am an independent consultant serving e-Rate Applicants (Approach Learning and Assessment Centers in Santa Ana, CA for Funding Years 1998 through 2002; the West Freano School District in Fresno, CA for Funding Years 2001 and 2002; the Highland Park School District in Detroit, MI for a Good Samaritan Review). I contact Service Providers only when it pertains to e-Rate matters on behalf of Applicants.

Attached is documentation to support the above-referenced Statement of Facts.

Signature:

Printed Name:

Company: Title: Date:

Frances B. Older

Fran Older Constituent March 20, 2003 STATEMENT OF FACTS
And
CERTIFICATION
TO
USAC/SLD
Pertaining to e-Rate Program
Funding Years 1998, 1999, 2008, 2001, 2002

I certify that the information provided on FCC Forms 473 to USAC and/or SLD on any and all e-Rate program funding years identifying Ms. Fran Older as the Contact Person was an honest mistake in the interpretation of instructions as to whom correspondence and questions should be directed. It was my interpretation of the instructions that it should be the Applicant's contact person most familiar with questions pertaining to forms.

Be advised that as soon as this mistake was brought to my attention in connection with Funding Year 2003 (FY6), I corrected the error in both databases at USAC and SLD by filling a Form 498 with USAC. On July 23, 2002, USAC verified the change was completed.

Be further advised that the Private Mail Box set up at 5319 University Drive, PMB #416, irvine, CA, 92612 was opened only for the purpose of expeditious handling of e-Rate time-sensitive correspondence and a safe harbor for e-rate checks from the US Tressury. It was not until the later years in the e-rate program that SLD started pre-notification to Applicants and Service Providers that checks were in the mail. Be assured that all mail directed to Ms. Fran Older at the address was immediately re-directed to me for processing. This was an honest mistake that is now corrected in your records by filing the Form 498. The address is no longer used by LWAssociates and at no time was it the physical address for LW Associates.

Be (urther advised that Ms. Fran Older is not now, and has never been, a consultant to LW Associates. Ms. Older is a consultant to the Applicant, Approach Learning and Assessment Centers, Santa Ana, CA. (BEA 158862), and, when necessary, contacts this office as it pertains to e-Rate matters only on behalf of the Applicant.

Be further advised that LW Associates has made every effort to uphold the rules and regulations of the e-Rate program in all funding years. The attachments will support the facts mentioned above and will also support the fact that LW Associates refunded \$9,539.10 to USAC/SLD under Contract No. LWA008127 on June 26, 2002 and also refunded the Applicant their 10% share of costs on the same Contract, the same date, in the amount of \$1,058.90. This evidence is provided to make known to USAC/SLD that LW Associates has cooperated with and applied due diligence to the understanding and implementation of the e-Rate program to the best of our ability.

Signature	Oam (5	
Printed Name	JAMES CARTER	
Company/ Organization	LW ASSOCIATES	i SPIN 143009276
Title		•
Date	26 MAR 03	<del>,</del>

Graphics Off



## The Universal Service Administrative Company

Home High Cost Low Income Rural Health Care

SL Main > Applicants > FCC Form 470

About the SLD

#### Applicents

Process Flowchart
Timetable/Deadlines

#### Service Providers

Conference Calls

Provider Manual

Invoicina

Disbursements

#### Tools

Commitments Search

Data Requests

Form 471 Application Status

Billed Entity Search

SPIN Search

FRN Extensions

#### Forme

Applicants PIN Request System

Apply Online

Applicant Forms

Provider Forms

#### FCC Form 470

# The FCC Form 470 Opens a Competitive Process for the Services Desired

After the technology plan has been developed and the applicant has identified the products and services needed to implement the plan, the applicant submits to the

SLD a Form 470, Description of Services Requested and Certification Form, either online or on paper. The SLD posts completed forms on the web site to notify service providers that the applicant is seeking the products and services identified.

Applicants must wait at least 28 days after the Form 470 is posted to the web site and consider all bids they receive before selecting the service provider to provide the services desired. In addition, applicants must comply with all applicable state and local procurement rules and regulations and competitive bidding requirements. A complete description of the requirements associated with the Form 470 can be found in the Form 470 Instructions.

- An applicant cannot seek discounts for services in a category of service on the Form 471 if those services in those categories were not indicated on a Form 470.
- ▶ The Form 470 MUST be completed by the entity that will negotiate with potential service providers.
- ▶ The Form 470 cannot be completed by a service provider who will participate in the competitive process as a bidder. If a service provider is involved in preparing the Form 470 and that service provider appears on the associated Form 471, this will taint the competitive process and lead to denial of funding requests that rely on that Form 470.
- ▶ The Form 470 applicant is responsible for ensuring an open, fair competitive process and selecting the most cost-effective provider of the desired services
- The applicant should carefully consider whether to receive discounts on bills or reimbursements for services paid in full.
- ▶ The applicant should save all competing bids for services to be able to demonstrate that the bid chosen is the most cost-effective, with price being the primary consideration. As with all documents that may be requested as part of an audit or other inquiry, such bids should be saved for at least five years.

#### Related Documents:

- ▶ Technology Plan
- ▶ FCC Form 470
- FCC Form 471
- Funding Commitment Decision Letter
- ▶ FCC Form 486
- Invoicing
- Records Retention
- More Information
- E-Rate Timetable



#### QUICK LINKS

#### Apply Online

Schools & Librari

- Reference Area
- <u>Appeais</u>
- Eligible Services List
- <u>Changes &</u> Corrections
- Suspensions & Debarments
- <u>Waste, Fraud, &</u>
  <u>Abuse Task</u>
  Force

### 



## Cubmit a

#### Submit a Question

- Contact Us
- Whistleblower
  Hotline Report
  Waste, Fraud, &
  Abuse

#### SITE HELP

- Site Map
- Site Tour
- Website Policy

Note that once an applicant has signed a multi-year contract in a prior funding year pursuant to a posted Form 470, it need not submit a new Form 470 to be eligible to apply for discounts on the services provided under that multi-year contract for future funding years.

After the SLD has successfully posted a Form 470 to the web site, the SLD sends the applicant a Form 470 Receipt Notification Letter that provides important information, including the "Allowable Vendor Selection/Contract Date," the earliest date the applicant can select a service provider, execute a contract, and submit a complete Form 471.

Content Last Modified: December 4, 2003

Need help? You can contact us toll free at 1-888-203-8100.

Our hours of operation are 8AM to 8PM, Eastern Time, Monday through Friday.

Aware of fraud, waste, and abuse, report it to our Whistleblower Hotline!